Aneutronic fusion born ion cyclotron emission from particle-in-cell simulations of D-³He plasmas

Tobias Slade-Harajda¹, Richard Dendy¹ & Sandra Chapman^{1,2}

¹ Centre for Fusion Space and Astrophysics, Department of Physics, Warwick University, Coventry CV4 ² Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Tromsö, Norway



WARWICK

E UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK



Engineering and **Physical Sciences Research Council**

This work received support from the RCUK Energy Programme grant no. EP/T012250/1 and was carried out within the framework of the HPC Midlands+ partnership.



Method

- We use the particle-in-cell (PIC) code EPOCH [3] which fully resolves Maxwell-Lorentz system of equations for tens of millions of computational ions
- 1D3V regime follows analytical slab geometry of the MCI, whilst resolving all \bullet three force vectors
- Particle weightings need to be held equal for multiple ion component simulations $\frac{n_{\sigma}}{N} = const.$ [4] N_{σ}

Reactions that produce neutrons can damage tokamak reactors. The future of fusion reactions for long-term gain are therefore aneutronic. Aneutronic reactivity cannot be measured by typical means (neutron count). For this reason, we utilise a new diagnostic which scales with fusion reactivity. One such diagnostic is ion cyclotron emission (ICE).

Introduction

- Magnetoacoustic cyclotron instability (MCI) is brought on by positive gradients in velocity-space distribution large $\partial f(\mathbf{v})/\partial \mathbf{v} > 0$ of minority energetic species (such as 3.5 MeV $f(\boldsymbol{v}) \mid \text{Bulk}$ alpha-particles)
- Originally detected in JET using ICRH antennae which were used to launch fast Alfvén waves (FAW) [1]
- Minority energetic particle resonance with the FAW at integer cyclotron harmonics $n\Omega_{\alpha}$ form ion cyclotron emission (ICE)

Ion Cyclotron Emission (ICE)

- A suprathermal, evenly spaced, highly 50 emission, \mathbf{E}^4 spectrally structured ICRF spontaneously emitted in most large MCF g 30 plasmas, e.g. LHD [2]
- Passive and non-intrusive diagnostic observed at various poloidal angles
- Intensity in JET scaled linearly with fusion reactivity in JET and TFTR



14.68 MeV protons are distributed as a drifting ring-beam [5] •

$$f_{\alpha}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{(v_{\parallel} - v_{\parallel 0})^2}{v_{th\parallel}^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(v_{\perp} - v_{\perp 0})^2}{v_{th\perp}^2}\right)$$

- Protons are simulated alongside a bulk plasma of deuterons and helium-3 ions with varying concentrations $\xi_{He3} = n_{He3}/n_e$, see Tab. 1 for parameters
- Other parameters include: L = 1.5m, $\Delta x = 0.95\lambda_{De}$, $T_{D,He3} = 2keV$, $n_e =$ $5 \times 10^{19} m^{-3}$ and $B_0 = 3.7 \text{ T}$, following Ref. [6], motivated by JET like initial parameters and birth conditions of the energetic proton species
- Pitch angle (final row of Tab. 1) is changed since a constant $v_{\perp 0}/v_A$ is used

ξ_{He3}	0.05	0.1	0.15	0.22	0.25	0.34	0.38	0.45
ξ_D	0.899	0.799	0.699	0.559	0.499	0.319	0.239	0.099
$v_A/c \ [10^{-3}]$	27.3	27.6	28.0	28.6	28.8	29.6	30.0	30.6
$v_{\parallel 0}/v_A$	6.42	6.34	6.25	6.13	6.08	5.91	5.84	5.71
$\arctan(v_{\perp 0}/v_{\parallel 0})$	7.98°	8.08°	8.19°	8.35°	8.43°	8.66°	8.76°	8.96°

Table 1. Simulation parameters including helium-3 concentration (ξ_{He3}), deuterium concentration (ξ_D) from quasi-neutrality, Alfvén velocity (ν_A), parallel birth velocity ($\nu_{\parallel 0}$) and pitch-angle.

Results

Ring

 v_0



- Fig. 2 Time evolution, normalised to the proton cyclotron period, of the change in energy densities of the particles and excited fields for helium-3 concentrations between five percent and 45%.
- Predominantly electrostatic ICE rather than electromagnetic (Fig. 2)
- ³He concentration dependence on energy transfer (Fig. 2) • Gyro-resonant theory [4] seen here $\frac{\Delta u_D}{\Delta u_{He3}} = \left(\frac{n_D m_{He3}}{n_{He3} m_D}\right) \left(\frac{q_D}{q_{He3}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Delta r_D^2}{\Delta r_{He3}^2}\right)$ • Change in enclosed magnetic flux of ions is equal; $\Delta r_D^2 = \Delta r_{He3}^2$

- Large proton energies (14.68MeV) lead to large parallel velocity components with respect to magnetic field
- Parallel velocities lead to Doppler shifting in wavenumber space (Fig. 1, left)
- Doppler shift of ICE can be defined by parallel components in frame of reference of particle $\omega' = n\Omega_{\rm p} - k\nu_0 \cos\theta \cos\phi$
- Can measure power spectra in lab frame (ω) or in particle's rest frame (ω') (Fig. 1, right)



Fig. 1 (left) threshed spatiotemporal Fourier transforms of the simulation output from zero percent to 45%. Theoretical Doppler shift lines plotted as diagonal dashed white lines. (Right) power spectral densities of the left-hand data in the lab frame and in the particle's Doppler shifted frame which introduces a wavenumber dependence in frequency space.

Summar	y
--------	---

- Doppler shifting from large proton energies, theory reveals dependency on pitch angle ϕ , magnetic field angle θ and energy of species $(u_p^2 \propto E_p)$
- Can measure power spectra in two different "frames"
- ICE in this scenario is predominantly ES rather than EM
- Partitioning of energy between bulk species is determined by their initial concentration, mass and charge
- MCI is still developed in aneutronic plasmas, and ICE is clearly visible in non-lab frame power spectra

Future work

Our simulations of the aneutronic plasma D-³He for multiple ³He concentrations reveal there is a need for further study of highly energetic products in aneutronic fusion plasmas. They have all been successful in producing the MCI and driving never before seen Doppler shifted ICE. Future simulations would benefit from considering the p-¹¹B reaction.

References

[1] Cottrell G A et al. 1993 Nucl. Fusion **33** 1365–87 [2] Reman B C G et al. 2022 PPCF **64** 085008 [3] Arber T D et al. 2015 PPCF 57 113001

[4] Slade-Harajda T W et al. 2024 Nucl. Fusion [5] Cook J W S 2022 *PPCF* **64** 115002 [6] Kiptily V G et al. 2022 PPCF **64** 064001